

NEW RIGS FOR MEN

Garments to be in Favor Next Spring and Summer.

SASHES ARE NOT IN IT

The Frock Coat Will Be Long and Ample, Duck Will Take the Place of White Flannel.

"Men's fashions for whom? For the average man who likes to be well dressed or for the exclusive, who would have to spend five hundred dollars a month if he would even attempt to keep his wardrobe up to the standard the New York society have set?"

"Oh, for the man. What is he going to wear next spring and summer? What are the tendencies in men's fashion?"

Editor Charles M. Connolly, of the *Madisonian* and the *Chicago Herald*.



NEGATIVE SILENT.

filled a fresh pipe before replying to so big a question. He is a bright, chatty fellow, young—almost a young man, an artist and a keen observer. His business always to be able to tell just what people are going to wear six months or a year from now. What they are wearing now is an old story.

"We might as well go at the thing methodically," he began, when the tobacco was well lighted. "The necktie of the coming season is the small knot four-in-hand, known to the trade as the graduated four-in-hand. It is distinguished by a rather small knot, narrow, about an inch and a half long, while the ends are broad and full, spreading out well in loose folds. This tie will be a favorite with dressy men, because it cannot be cleverly imitated. By reason of its width and the expensive quality of goods required it costs never less than one dollar. A fair one may be one dollar and a half or two dollars. The *Ascot* and the old-fashioned four-in-hand will be somewhat worn, but there are a little phase.

"A second popular tie will be a simple bow cut from one and a quarter to one and a half inches wide. This will be suitable either for white or negligé shirts. In the latter case it should be made of the same material as the shirt, but preferably not of the same pattern.

"Of course knots and bows will be made up of an imitation of both these styles, but the former, at least, cannot



A SPRING GOWN. A CORRECT FROCK COAT.

be very successfully copied. As to colors, muted tints will prevail—light bodies with small neat designs. Loud colors must give way. The keynote to the coming season throughout is quietness and simplicity. Blue is a standard and good for ten years. The old *Vandyke* effects are reproduced in newness or some extent. English tailors will all favor blue for men's suits.

"As to collars, the favored style will be the plain, with protruding points, next will come the turnover, comfortable. Turn-down collars will be much worn. The band will be lower in the back and the points longer, so as not to flap out over the vest—both changes for the better.

"Link cuffs will be correct. By the way, gentlemen should always get their handkerchiefs to iron link cuffs fast, not round. Of course they will do it. Then the owner can fasten them for himself."

And Mr. Connolly, by way of illustration, grasped one of his own and fastened it, doubled lengthwise, upon his shirt. This produced a crease on the side opposite the links.

"Of course," he continued, examining his pipe, "the correct man will have his shirt and collar and cuffs all built together and connected, and of course the average man will have his collar and cuffs detachable in new *bandy* bills, so there is no in saying anything more about that."

"In gloves, the old shagreen—always tan for day wear. The spring overcoat will be a single-breasted black diamond, with a little longer and fuller than last year, with collar opening of moiré, silk, or a rough-lined velvet in the same cut. With either a high hat should be worn.

"The correct coat, short and yellow, will be somewhat worn.

The most useful for dressy occasions will be the new frock coat—Prince Albert with long skirts and wide lapels, three buttoned in front, unbuttoned at waist, or dark mittens, with trousers to match. With this a high-top dress shoe. The cutaway

will have a longer skirt than formerly, will be made of diagonal, and will take trousers of different material. Fancy vests are allowable with the cutaway, but should be of neat pattern.

"A less dressy suit is the double-breasted suit or single-breasted cutaway in mixture and solid colors. A derby or soft hat may go with them.

"For formal occasions shoes should be of patent leather, which is made now of much better quality than formerly. Patent leather may be worn for all occasions, but for dress use most men prefer black calf. Dress shoes in winter are a bad, not good form. For summer they are all right, especially with negligé costume. And that, by the way, is emphatically a summer costume, not to be worn in town. For city use the white shirt only is allowable for formal wear, or the percale fancy-colored shirt, the white collar and white or colored cuffs, for business use. Negligé shirts will be made with detachable cuffs and collars, for those who require them that way.

The double-breasted frock coat goes with negligé, and a belt."

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

"Not a suit?"

"Emphatically, no. The sash was very popular at first among dressy men because it added effect to their costume, but when every banker's boy began to wear one, something had to be done—and it wasn't the boy. Sashes were in good form as long as they were made in squares, costing four dollars up, but now the sash is dead, and the belt will take its place.

"Another article of wearing apparel that was unmercifully abused was the yachting cap. It was a mistake to wear them, as so many did, in town. They will continue to be worn by swells who do yacht, however, for the club emblem is a sufficient distinction. With

men's styles. The colors and designs reappear in men's wardrobe. Forms alter in harmony. When women's dresses began to have wider draperies men's clothing grew looser and fuller in its lines, and coats had longer and simpler skirts. When a gentleman and lady are walking together their dress should harmonize."

Mr. Connolly used to be a cartoonist on the *Graphic* and is handy with his pencil.

OWEN LANSBURG

TRY AGAIN.

What Possibilities Lay for a Young Italian Character.

Between sixty and seventy years ago, in a little city in Italy, there was, among other characters at the opera house, a young man named Rubini, who was very poor, extremely modest and greatly beloved by his comrades.

In Italy at that time the orchestra and choristers were badly paid. The first violin was more than likely to be at work all day in a bootmaker's shop.

This young man, in order to assist his aged mother, united the functions of chorister to the more lucrative employment of journeyman tailor.

One day, when he had taken to Nozari's house a pair of trousers, that illustrious singer, after looking at him earnestly, said to him, kindly: "It appears to me, my good fellow, that I have seen you somewhere."

"Quite likely, sir; you may have seen me at the opera house, where I take a part in the choruses."

"Have you a good voice?"

"Not remarkable, sir. I can with great difficulty reach sol."

"Let me see," said Nozari, going to the piano. "Begin the scale." The chorister obeyed; but when he reached sol he stopped short, out of breath.

"Sound la, come, try."

"Sir, I cannot."

"Sound la, I tell you."

"La, la."

"My dear sir, I cannot."

"Sound si, I tell you, or I'll—"

"Don't get angry, sir; I'll try. La, si, la, si, do."

"I told you so!" said Nozari, in a tone of triumph. "And now, my good fellow, I will say only one word to you. If you will study and practice, you will become the first tenor in Italy."

Nozari was right. The poor tailor-chorister had perseverance, and years later Rubini fulfilled Nozari's prophecy.

—Youth's Companion.

A CONTRAST.

Two Ministers Who Were Always Careless in Opposite Points.

An amusing story is told of old Dr. Emmons, a clergyman who was equally famed for the extreme neatness of his person and for his carelessness in regard to his "establishment."

He started one day to "exchange" with a brother whose reputation was, so to speak, the complement of his own.

The two divines met on the road, about half-way, and stopped to indulge in a fraternal chat. Dr. Emmons' horse looked as if he had never known the touch of a currycomb, the dust of bygone days whitened his old cheeks, and several seedy haystacks dangled conspicuously from the top and sides.

The old minister himself, however, faultlessly neat from head to foot, was a shining figure in the dingy equipage.

Not a single hair lay "agore" in the gleaming coat of the other minister's steed, and the sunlight glistened on the polished cover and wheels of his chair; but his own person and dress had been neglected. In the language of *Today* he would be described as "seedy."

Unaware of this fact, he gazed at Dr. Emmons' turnout with increasing disapproval. As he was about to drive on he fired this parting shot at his friend:

"Brother Emmons, I should think you would be ashamed of your horse."

"And I, my dear brother," was the quick response of Dr. Emmons, "should almost think your horse would be ashamed of you!"

After which interchange of shots, the two old friends parted in perfect good humor.—Youth's Companion.

PALMETTO PAPER.

A New and Valuable Addition to Paper Stock.

At the present time when paper stock is scarce and the prices advancing, a special interest is taken by paper manufacturers in palmetto paper. It is not generally known that factories have been established in the south for the manufacture of paper from that article. It is only within the last few years that American manufacturers have paid any attention to this fiber as a paper stock.

In England and France, however, it has been for more than thirty years in practical use. In fact, one firm in London have used over one thousand pounds yearly for the last twenty years. The material which they use and which is converted into notes and bonds is produced in Africa. The great expense of procuring it has deterred manufacturers in this country from using it, but since the establishment of factories here this difficulty to a great extent has been overcome. It is said that the great solidity of palmetto wood pulp makes it more valuable than any other wood pulp, and it is therefore recommended to manufacturers for the making of palis, tubes, casks and other utensils. Because of its solidity, it is also very valuable for use in rough casts for models and moldings. The supply of this wood is practically unlimited; it is now found in countless quantities all over Florida. The leaf and stem are almost entirely composed of valuable fibers, which in the raw state have a rough appearance. These are not very pliant when wet, but are exceedingly flexible when dry, and if skillfully handled can be divided into extremely small threads. In many instances the finer grades of fiber resemble wool more than cotton, linen or silk.

What the Indians Think.

There is something for the "native American" stock to reflect upon, says the *Western Star*, in the remarks of one of the Indian girls from the Carlisle Indian school, which was represented by three hundred and twenty-two pupils in the grand parade of school children in New York this week. This girl had been taking in the sights of the metropolis with others in charge of a metropolitan teacher. They had been down to Ellis Island to witness the landing of some emigrants, and after witnessing for awhile this great horde of foreigners who were crowding ashore, this Carlisle girl remarked to her white teacher: "Your people dress in out-of-date clothes. Your people are dressing to drive you out. If you don't stop them you will be in a few centuries what my people are now."

TOMORROW.

The robin thinks when the thrush is singing, "How much a bird has the thrush done for him!"

The tide that rises from the moon flows back to the moon, and the tide that rises from the sun flows back to the sun.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The man who is in the woman's womb is not a man, but a child.

The woman who is in the man's womb is not a woman, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

The child who is in the mother's womb is not a child, but a baby.

The baby who is in the mother's womb is not a baby, but a child.

Does. Hugh was too quick for her. He stopped in across the threshold, where she stood looking pale and quivering against the wall.

"We owe it to ourselves," he said, "to make some explanation. Of course the dog is yours."

She made a hasty motion of disclaimer, but Hugh went on:

"Of course the dog is yours; we have had him so long in our keeping. I mean he was found one night last winter, and this lady kindly let him come into our house with her out of the winter weather. But we have no idea of keeping the little fellow from you—unless, indeed, you would care to part with him."

This last intimation, that it had not escaped Hugh any more than it had me that as between dog and mistress the affection was certainly all on one side. The little woman—girl she was rather—had retreated, sinking down on the step box stairs that almost filled up the tiny entry. The dog did not feel himself repulsed as we did, but fell, dog fashion, to licking the worn hands with which she covered her face. It was in that same instant that we heard a child's cry. She let her hands drop, the wedding ring flashing out on the worn finger, and, with, for the first time, nothing me, with a swift sign she led the way into the back room.

The lady was just waking in his cradle. She turned her white face round on us in the doorway as she dropped down on her knees beside him. The dog went sniffing about the cradle, round and round, as if he could not understand, then stood irresolute, his brown eyes flashing inquiry from the woman to me.

"For the child's sake," she said. "For the child's sake!"

She caught her breath in a frightened, gasping way that brought the doctor in Hugh to the fore.

"Steady," he said gently. "We are not here to hurt you, but to see what can be done for you."

She pointed to the dog. "Only take Dash away. Leave us two alone."

At the sound of his name the dog glanced round at her, but at her vehement repellent gesture he crept nearer to me, his tail between his legs.

"Poor Dash! My poor, poor Artful Dodger!" I whispered, stooping to pat him. But Hugh was looking at the woman attentively. "I beg your pardon, but I think I have seen you before," he said.

She threw up her hands with a sharp cry: "I knew it! I knew that dog would ruin me!"

"Then you tried to lose him—to get rid of him?"

She made Hugh no answer, only looked at him half fearfully, half defiantly.

"Come, Kittle," he said to me, "since the dog's owner is willing to part with him we will take him home with us."

He laid a couple of bills on the sewing machine as he spoke, disregarding her gesture of refusal. "Otherwise we shall not feel that we have a right to keep the dog," he said. "And we will keep him; he shall never annoy you again, believe me."

He bowed to her respectfully as we three went out, closing the door behind us, for she never moved from her knees beside the cradle.

Of course I asked Hugh what it all meant the moment we were out of earshot, and I am afraid I was not in a very amiable mood during the walk home, because he would not answer.

The next day, however, he told me, for the next day he went back to the flower-pot of a house and found the little dressmaker had lost herself to Dash again.